

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level dB(A)	Follow-up action
X_16N0037	7-Jun-16	14:40	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	82	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre) under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Excavation works at Portion 5 was conducted under Contract HK/2009/02 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre immediately opposite to the monitoring station) under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N038	7-Jun-16	14:40	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	82	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre) under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Backfilling works were conducted under Contract HK/2009/01 at Area 8 (West of Wan Chai Ferry Pier) during the time of measurement while operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre immediately opposite to the monitoring station) under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N039	7-Jun-16	10:45	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	67	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	<p>Possible reason: Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No work was conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 during the time of measurement and it was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.</p>
X_16N0041	13-Jun-16	14:35	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	85	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre) under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Excavation works at Portion 5 was conducted under Contract HK/2009/02 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre immediately opposite to the monitoring station) under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N042	13-Jun-16	14:35	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	85	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre) under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Backfilling works were conducted under Contract HK/2009/01 at Area 8 (West of Wan Chai Ferry Pier) during the time of measurement while operation of Hydromill trench cutter in close proximity to the monitoring station at Ex- Wan Chai Swimming Pool (adjacent to Harbour Road Sports Centre immediately opposite to the monitoring station) under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level dB(A)	Follow-up action
X_16N043	13-Jun-16	11:00	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	67	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	<p>Possible reason: Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No work was conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 during the time of measurement and it was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.</p>
X_16N0045	21-Jun-16	15:27	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	78	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of breaker opposite to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Excavation works at Portion 5 was conducted under Contract HK/2009/02 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while breaking works opposite to the monitoring station under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N046	21-Jun-16	15:27	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	78	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of breaker opposite to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Backfilling works was conducted under Contract HK/2009/01 at Area 8 (West of Wan Chai Ferry Pier) during the time of measurement while breaking works opposite to monitoring station under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N047	21-Jun-16	9:48	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	69	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	<p>Possible reason: Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution while external wall renovation at City Garden next to monitoring station was observed.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Only rebar fixing and shifting of launching girder for segment placing were conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 around the monitoring location and nearby traffic noise was observed as major noise source during monitoring. In addition, external wall renovation at City Garden was observed. In view of the above, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level, dB(A)	Parameter	Action Level	Limit Level dB(A)	Follow-up action
X_16N0049	28-Jun-16	10:25	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	84	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of drill rig opposite to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: A repeat measurement was conducted to confirm result and reviewed the trend of previous noise monitoring and Contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Excavation work at Portion 5 was conducted under Contract HK/2009/02 around the concerned location during the time of measurement while operation of drill rig opposite to the monitoring station under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N050	28-Jun-16	10:25	M1a-Harbour Road Sports Centre	84	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Operation of drill rig opposite to the monitoring station was observed as the major noise contribution under non WDII-CWB Contractor.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: A repeat measurement was conducted to confirm result and reviewed the trend of previous noise monitoring and Contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Backfilling work was conducted under Contract HK/2009/01 at Area 8 (West of Wan Chai Ferry Pier) during the time of measurement while operation of drill rig opposite to monitoring station under non WDII-CWB Contractor was observed as the major noise contribution during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>
X_16N051	12-Jul-16	9:45	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	69	Leq(30min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	<p>Possible reason: Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Only fixing of cast-in-item and segment erection with launching girder were conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 around the monitoring location and nearby traffic noise was observed as major noise source during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered as non-Project related.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_16A004	27-Aug-16	13:00	CMA1b- Oil Street Site Office	393.7	1 hr TSP (ug/m ³)	320.1	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to local ambient condition around monitoring station</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction works was undertaken on the monitoring date at around Oil Street Site Office under Contractor of HY/2009/19, dust suppression measure including haul road maintained in dampened condition was implemented and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. In view of the above, the action level exceedance was considered to be non-project related and contributed by local ambient condition.</p>
X_16A005	27-Aug-16	13:00	CMA1b- Oil Street Site Office	393.7	1 hr TSP (ug/m ³)	320.1	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to local ambient condition around monitoring station</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Only formboard erection and rebar fixing works were undertaken on the monitoring date at around Oil Street Site Office while the haul road around the concerned location was hard paved and no particular observation regarding air quality impact was observed during sampling. In view of the above, the action level exceedance was considered to be non-project related and contributed by local ambient condition.</p>